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1. Basic Concepts of Screening Designs

## A $2^{7-2}$ Design

Generators \& Defining Contrast Subgroup (DCS):

$$
\begin{gathered}
F=A B C \quad G=A B D E \\
I=A B C F=A B D E G=C D E F G
\end{gathered}
$$

## A $2^{7-2}$ Design

Generators \& Defining Contrast Subgroup (DCS):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{ABC} \quad \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{ABDE} \\
\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{ABCF}=\mathrm{ABDEG}=\mathrm{CDEFG}
\end{gathered}
$$

Word Length Pattern:

$$
W L P=\left(W_{3}, W_{4}, W_{5}, \ldots\right)
$$

$$
\text { Here, } W \text { LP }=(0,1,2)
$$

This design is the minimum aberration (MA) $2^{7-2}$ design (Fries \& Hunter, 1980).

## Clear Effects

Definition:
A main effect or two-factor interaction is clear if it is not aliased with any main effects or two-factor interactions (or confounded with blocks).

## Clear Effects

Definition:
A main effect or two-factor interaction is clear if it is not aliased with any main effects or two-factor interactions (or confounded with blocks).

DCS for the MA $2^{7-2}$ design:

$$
I=A B C F=A B D E G=C D E F G
$$

Clear Effects:

- for the $2^{7-2}$ design, all main effects and 15 two-factor interactions are clear
- in this example, the MA design also maximizes the number of clear effects; however, this is not always true
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## A $2^{5-1}$ Design in 2 Blocks

Factor Generator:

$$
E=A B C
$$

Blocking Generator:

$$
\beta=A B D
$$

Defining Contrast Subgroup:

$$
I=A B C E=A B D \beta=C D E \beta
$$
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$$
\text { A } 2^{5-1} \text { Design in } 2 \text { Blocks }
$$

| Run | A | B | C | D | E | Block |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 2 | + | + | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3 | - | - | + | - | + | 1 |
| 4 | + | + | + | - | + | 1 |
| 5 | + | - | - | + | + | 1 |
| 6 | - | + | - | + | + | 1 |
| 7 | + | - | + | + | - | 1 |
| 8 | - | + | + | + | - | 1 |
| 9 | + | - | - | - | + | 2 |
| 10 | - | + | - | - | + | 2 |
| 11 | + | - | + | - | - | 2 |
| 12 | - | + | + | - | - | 2 |
| 13 | - | - | - | + | - | 2 |
| 14 | + | + | - | + | - | 2 |
| 15 | - | - | + | + | + | 2 |
| 16 | + | + | + | + | + | 2 |

Table 1: Standard Run Order of a $2^{5-1}$ Design.
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## A $2^{(3+3)-(0+1)}$ FFSP Design

Hard-to-vary (whole-plot) factors; easy-to-vary (subplot) factors
Suppose that an experimenter wishes to conduct a split-plot design having $\mathrm{n}_{1}=3$ whole-plot (WP) factors and $\mathrm{n}_{2}=3$ subplot (SP) factors but can only afford only 32 runs.
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Hard-to-vary (whole-plot) factors; easy-to-vary (subplot) factors
Suppose that an experimenter wishes to conduct a split-plot design having $n_{1}=3$ whole-plot (WP) factors and $n_{2}=3$ subplot (SP) factors but can only afford only 32 runs.
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The "best" 32-run FFSP design is obtained using the generator $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{ABCpq}$.

$$
\text { A } 2^{(3+3)-(0+1)} \text { FFSP Design }
$$

| Run | A | B | C | $p$ | $q$ | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 |  |  |  | + | - | + |
| 3 |  |  |  | - | + | + |
| 4 |  |  |  | + | + | - |
| 5 | + | - | - | - | - | + |
| 6 |  |  |  | + | - | - |
| 7 |  |  |  | - | + | - |
| 8 |  |  |  | + | + | + |
| 9 | - | + | - | - | - | + |
| 10 |  |  |  | + | - | - |
| 11 |  |  |  | - | + | - |
| 12 |  |  |  | + | + | + |
| 13 | + | + | - | - | - | - |
| 14 |  |  |  | + | - | + |
| 15 |  |  |  | - | + | + |
| 16 |  |  |  | + | + | - |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |

Table 2: Standard run order of the $2^{(3+3)-(0+1)}$ FFSP design—first 16 runs.
2. Optimal Block Sequences for BFFSP Designs

## A $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP Design

Factor Generator:

$$
\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{ABq}
$$

Blocking Generators:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta=A B C \quad \text { "a pure WP-blocking generator" } \\
\delta=A C p q \quad \text { "a separator" }
\end{gathered}
$$

Defining Contrast Subgroup:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=A B q r=A B C \beta=A C p q \delta \\
=C q r \beta=B C p r \delta=B p q \beta \delta=A p r \beta \delta
\end{gathered}
$$

## A $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP Design

Factor Generator:

$$
\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{ABq}
$$

Blocking Generators:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta=A B C \quad \text { "a pure WP-blocking generator" } \\
\delta=A C p q \quad \text { "a separator" }
\end{gathered}
$$

Defining Contrast Subgroup:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=A B q r=A B C \beta=A C p q \delta \\
=C q r \beta=B C p r \delta=B p q \beta \delta=A p r \beta \delta
\end{gathered}
$$

Clear Effects:

- all main effects and 9 two-factor interactions are clear
- 6 two-factor interactions are not clear: AB, Aq, Ar, Bq, Br \& qr

This design is the MA BFFSP design, among all designs having 3 WP factors, 3 SP factors and a (4:4:2) "structure" (i.e., 4 blocks, 4 WP's per block and 2 SP's per WP); see McLeod \& Brewster (2004).

$$
\text { A } 2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)} \text { BFFSP Design }
$$

| Run | A | B | $C$ | $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $\beta$ | $\delta$ | Block |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | + | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  | - | + | - | - | - | 1 |
| 3 | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | - | 1 |
| 4 |  |  |  | + | + | - | - | - | 1 |
| 5 | + | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | 1 |
| 6 |  |  |  | + | + | - | - | - | 1 |
| 7 | - | + | + | + | - | + | - | - | 1 |
| 8 |  |  |  | - | + | - | - | - | 1 |
| 9 | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | 2 |
| 10 |  |  |  | - | + | + | + | - | 2 |
| 11 | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | - | 2 |
| 12 |  |  |  | + | + | + | + | - | 2 |
| 13 | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | 2 |
| 14 |  |  | + | + | + | + | - | 2 |  |
| 15 | + | + | + | + | - | - | + | - | 2 |
| 16 |  |  | - | + | + | + | - | 2 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |

Table 3: Standard run order of the $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP Design-first 16 runs.

## Advantages of Blocking

An often overlooked advantage of blocked designs, in general, lies in the sequential nature in which they are run.

## Advantages of Blocking

An often overlooked advantage of blocked designs, in general, lies in the sequential nature in which they are run.

Utilizing the sequential nature of the design. . .

- interim analysis
- early termination of the experiment
- more sophisticated design and analysis techniques
[Daniel (1962); Bisgaard (1994); McLeod and Brewster (2004); Jacroux (2006)]
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## Block Sequences

Recall the $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design with blocking variable generators $\beta=A B C$ and $\delta=A C p q$.

Let $A B C="-"$ and $A C p q="-"$ denote those runs in the BFFSP design which produce a minus sign in the contrasts generating $\beta$ and $\delta$, respectively.

Similarly, let ABC="+" and ACpq="+" denote those runs in the BFFSP design which produce a plus sign in the contrasts generating $\beta$ and $\delta$, respectively.

## Block Sequences

|  | ABC $\beta$ <br> $(\operatorname{Cqr} \beta)$ | ACpq <br> $($ BCpr $\delta)$ | Bpq $\beta \delta$ <br> $($ Apr $\beta \delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S $_{1}:$ |  |  |  |
| Block 1 | - | - | + |
| Block 2 | - | + | - |
| Block 3 | + | + | + |
| Block 4 | + | - | - |

Table 4: One possible block sequence, $S_{1}$, for the MA $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design.

## Block Sequences

|  | ABC $\beta$ <br> $(\operatorname{Cqr} \beta)$ | ACpq <br> $($ BCpr $\delta)$ | Bpq $\beta \delta$ <br> $($ Apr $\beta \delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S $_{1}:$ |  |  |  |
| Block 1 | - | - | + |
| Block 2 | - | + | - |
| Block 3 | + | + | + |
| Block 4 | + | - | - |

Table 4: One possible block sequence, $S_{1}$, for the MA $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design.

Recall the DCS:
$I=A B q r=A B C \beta=A C p q \delta=C q r \beta=B C p r \delta=B p q \beta \delta=A p r \beta \delta$

## Block Sequences

|  | ABC $\beta$ <br> $(\operatorname{Cqr} \beta)$ | ACpq <br> $($ BCpr $\delta)$ | Bpq $\beta \delta$ <br> $($ Apr $\beta \delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S $_{1}:$ |  |  |  |
| Block 1 | - | - | + |
| Block 2 | - | + | - |
| Block 3 | + | + | + |
| Block 4 | + | - | - |

Table 4: One possible block sequence, $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, for the MA $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design.

Recall the DCS:
$\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{ABqr}=A B C \beta=A C p q \delta=\mathrm{Cqr} \beta=\mathrm{BCpr} \delta=\mathrm{Bpq} \beta \delta=A p r \beta \delta$
ABqr $\in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}$

## Block Sequences

|  | ABC $\beta$ <br> $(\operatorname{Cqr} \beta)$ | ACpq <br> $($ BCpr $\delta)$ | Bpq $\beta \delta$ <br> $($ Apr $\beta \delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S $_{1}:$ |  |  |  |
| Block 1 | - | - | + |
| Block 2 | - | + | - |
| Block 3 | + | + | + |
| Block 4 | + | - | - |

Table 4: One possible block sequence, $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, for the MA $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design.

Recall the DCS:
$\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{ABqr}=A \mathrm{BC} \beta=A \mathrm{Cpq} \delta=\mathrm{Cqr} \beta=\mathrm{BCpr} \delta=\mathrm{Bpq} \beta \delta=A p r \beta \delta$
$A B q r \in G_{t}$
$A B C \beta, A C p q \delta$ and $B p q \beta \delta \in G_{b}$

## Block Sequences

|  | ABC $\beta$ <br> $(\operatorname{Cqr} \beta)$ | ACpq <br> $($ BCpr $\delta)$ | Bpq $\beta \delta$ <br> $($ Apr $\beta \delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S $_{1}:$ |  |  |  |
| Block 1 | - | - | + |
| Block 2 | - | + | - |
| Block 3 | + | + | + |
| Block 4 | + | - | - |

Table 4: One possible block sequence, $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, for the MA $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design.

Recall the DCS:
$\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{ABqr}=A B C \beta=A C p q \delta=\mathrm{Cqr} \beta=\mathrm{BCpr} \delta=\mathrm{Bpq} \beta \delta=A p r \beta \delta$
$A B q r \in G_{t}$
$A B C \beta, A C p q \delta$ and $B p q \beta \delta \in G_{b}$
$\mathrm{Cqr} \beta, \mathrm{BCpr} \delta$ and $A p r \beta \delta \in \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{t}}$

## Block Sequences

For a given BFFSP design there are $\left(2^{b_{1}+b_{2}}\right)$ ! possible block sequences.

## Block Sequences

For a given BFFSP design there are $\left(2^{b_{1}+b_{2}}\right)$ ! possible block sequences.
For the $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design this implies there are $\left(2^{1+1}\right)!=24$ block sequences.

## Block Sequences

For a given BFFSP design there are $\left(2^{b_{1}+b_{2}}\right)$ ! possible block sequences.
For the $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design this implies there are $\left(2^{1+1}\right)!=24$ block sequences.

|  | ABC <br> $(\mathrm{Cqr} \beta)$ | ACpq <br> $(\mathrm{BCpr} \delta)$ | Bpq $\beta \delta$ <br> $(\mathrm{Apr} \beta \delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}:$ |  |  |  |
| Block 1 | - | - | + |
| Block 2 | - | + | - |
| Block 3 | + | + | + |
| Block 4 | + | - | - |
| S $_{2}$ : |  |  |  |
| Block 1 | - | - | + |
| Block 2 | + | - | - |
| Block 3 | - | + | - |
| Block 4 | + | + | + |

Table 5: A comparison of two block sequences for the MA $2^{(3+3)-(0+1) \pm(1+1)}$ BFFSP design.
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## Block Sequences

## Question:

Why concern oneself with block sequences?
Short answer:
From an estimation perspective, not all block sequences are "created equal"!

Longer answer:

The choice of block sequence may allow, or conversely impede, early estimation of low-order effects in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{t}} \cup \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$.

This realization is critical if one is interested in interim data analysis.. .

## Block Sequences: A comparison of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$

The two block sequences, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, are not equivalent with respect to the early estimation of low-order effects in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{t}} \cup \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$.
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In $S_{1}$ the sign of $A B C$ and $C q r$ remains constant ("-") thru blocks 1 and 2. the early estimation of low-order effects in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{t}} \cup \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$.
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## Block Sequences: A comparison of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$

The two block sequences, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, are not equivalent with respect to the early estimation of low-order effects in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{t}} \cup \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$.

To see this, consider the sign of the contrasts $A B C$ and $C q r$ (both confounded with $\beta$ ) in $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.

In $S_{1}$ the sign of $A B C$ and Cqr remains constant ("-") thru blocks 1 and 2.

Conversely, in $S_{2}$ the sign of $A B C$ and $C q r$ switches between blocks 1 and 2.

## Block Sequences: A comparison of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$

## Consequence:

After block 2, using $S_{1}$ : Two SP main effects ( $q$ and $r$ ) and all three WP main effects are (completely) aliased with 2 fi 's after the second block has been completed.
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## Block Sequences: A comparison of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$

## Consequence:

After block 2, using $S_{1}$ : Two SP main effects ( $q$ and $r$ ) and all three WP main effects are (completely) aliased with 2 fi 's after the second block has been completed.

That is, after block 2, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{Cq}, \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{AC}$ and $C=A B=q r$.

After block 2, using $S_{2}$ : All main effects in $G_{b \times t} \cup G_{b}$ are clear. Furthermore, no 2fi's in $G_{b \times t} \cup G_{b}$ are aliased with main effects.

Using the hierarchical principle, $S_{2}$ is preferred.

## Block Sequences: A comparison of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$

## After block 4, using any $S_{i}$ :
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Note that after the runs in the final block (block 4) have been conducted, no low-order treatment effects in $G_{b \times t} \cup G_{b}$ will be aliased with one another.
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## Optimality Criteria

For a given BFFSP design, the idea is select a block sequence that allows the low-order effects in $G_{b \times t} \cup G_{b}$ to be clearly estimable as soon as possible.

All $\left(2^{b_{1}+b_{2}}\right)$ ! possible block sequences are evaluated according to the following sequential ranking scheme:
a: the \# of SP me's that are aliased with other me's
b: the \# of WP me's that are aliased with other me's
c: the \# of SP me's that are aliased with 2 fi 's
d: the \# of WP me's that are aliased with 2 fi 's
Go Back
$e$ : the \# of 2 fi's involving at least one $S P$ factor ( $W P \times S P$ and $S P \times S P$ ) that are aliased with me's
f: the \# of WP $\times$ WP 2 fi 's that are aliased with me's

## A Catalog of Optimal Block Sequences

$$
\text { Example: An MA } 2^{(2+5)-(0+2) \pm(1+2)} \text { BFFSP design }
$$

## A Catalog of Optimal Block Sequences

Example: An MA $2^{(2+5)-(0+2) \pm(1+2)}$ BFFSP design

An optimal block sequence for a 32-run BFFSP design run in 8 blocks of size 4 is as follows:

| Design | Optimal Block Sequence | $\#$ | a | b | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ | $f$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,$5 ; 0,2 ; 1,2$ | $A B \beta_{1}(-,-,+,+,-,-,+,+)$ | 768 | $0,0,0,0$ | $2,0,0,0$ | $5,4,4,0$ | $2,1,1,0$ | $16,16,6,0$ | $0,0,0,0$ |
|  | $A p r \delta_{1}(-,-,-,-,+,+,+,+)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $p q \delta_{2}(-,+,-,+,-,+,-,+)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3. Discussion and Future Research

## Discussion and Future Research

- Analysis Issues


## Discussion and Future Research

- Analysis Issues
- partial ("complex") aliasing
- simplification of complex aliasing via effect sparsity (Wu and Hamada (2000))
- Bayesian variable selection strategy (Hamada and Wu (1992); Chipman, Hamada, Wu (1997))
- in the split-plot setting? Ongoing work by D. Bingham


## Discussion and Future Research

- Analysis Issues
- partial ("complex") aliasing
- simplification of complex aliasing via effect sparsity (Wu and Hamada (2000))
- Bayesian variable selection strategy (Hamada and Wu (1992); Chipman, Hamada, Wu (1997))
- in the split-plot setting? Ongoing work by D. Bingham
- Constructing Non-regular Blocked Split-plot Designs
- an indirect approach is possible using the optimal block sequence catalog
- 12 and 24-run designs
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## Block Sequences: A general result

If a 3 fi , say $W_{1} W_{2} W_{3}$, is confounded with blocks (for e.g., $W_{1} W_{2} W_{3}=\beta$, etc.) then the main effects, $W_{1}, W_{2}$ and $W_{3}$ will be (completely or partially) aliased with the three 2 fi 's, $W_{2} W_{3}, W_{1} W_{3}$ and $W_{1} W_{2}$, respectively, after a given block, if an unequal number of " - " and " + " signs exist in the $W_{1} W_{2} W_{3}$ contrast.
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Similar results for contrasts of the form $W_{1} W_{2}$ and $W_{1} W_{2} W_{3} W_{4}$ confounded with blocks (i.e., in $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{t}} \cup \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ).

